

On Laplace-Riemann Derivatives

S. Deb



Keywords: Laplace-Riemann Derivatives, Riemann -Derivatives, Derivative, Continuity, Mathematical Subject Classification 2020: 26E99, 28E99.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past different types of derivatives were defined and investigated [1]. Laplace derivative and Riemann derivative are such two derivatives [6]. Laplace derivative was first introduced in and studied in [2]. Laplace-Riemann derivative is another generalization of ordinary derivative which is defined with the help of the concept of the previous two derivatives [5]. In this section, we have studied the order Laplace-Riemann derivative and have shown by example that the Laplace-Riemann derivative is more general than the ordinary derivative [3]. Also, we have proved some theorems regarding monotonicity and Mean value property for the Laplace-Riemann derivative of a function having Upper semi-continuity and property D [8].

A. Definitions and Notations

Definition 1.1: Let $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ be a function, which is specially Denjoy-integrable in some neighborhood of $x \in \mathbf{R}$. If the limit

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\frac{s^{n+1}}{n!}\int_0^{\delta}e^{-st}\Delta^n(f,x,t)dt$$

exists then it is said to be the n^{th} right Laplace-Riemann derivative of f at x and is denoted by $LRD_n^+f(x)$. If the limit

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}(-1)^n\frac{s^{n+1}}{n!}\int_0^{\delta}e^{-st}\Delta^n(f,x,-t)dt$$

exists then it is said to be the left Laplace-Riemann derivative of order n off at x and is denoted by $LRD_n^-f(x)$

If both $LRD_n^+f(x)$ and $LRD_n^-f(x)$ exist and are equal, then the common value is called the n-th Laplace-

Manuscript received on 16 April 2024 | Revised Manuscript received on 15 May 2024 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 October 2024 | Manuscript published on 30 October 2024. *Correspondence Author(s)

S. Deb*, Student, Department of Mathematics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, Bolpur (West Bengal), India. E-mail ID: <u>debsuranjana@gmail.com</u>, ORCID ID: <u>0009-0008-4719-1082</u>

© The Authors. Published by Lattice Science Publication (LSP). This is an <u>open access</u> article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) Check for updates

Riemann derivative of f at x and is denoted by $LRD_n f(x)$ [4].

The Definitions are independent of δ [4].

B. Propeties of Laplace-Riemann Derivative

To study properties of Laplace-Riemann derivative, following lemmas are used.

Lemma 1.1 : If $\psi(t) = o(t^n)$, then

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\frac{s^{n+1}}{n!}\int_{0}^{\delta}e^{-st}\psi(t)dt=0, (ii)\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{1}{h}\int_{0}^{h}\frac{\psi(t)}{t^{n}}dt=0.$$

The proof is given in [4].

Lemma 1.2: If p, q are positive integers and $\delta > 0$ then

$$s^{q} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} t^{p} dt = p! s^{q-p-1} + o(1) \text{ as } s \to \infty.$$

The proof is given in [5]

Lemma 1.3: If p, q are positive integers and $\delta > 0$ then

$$s^{q} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} t^{p} dt = p! s^{q-p-1} + o(1) \text{ as } s \to \infty.$$

The proof is clear [6]

Also, we know:

1.For $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ be a function, which is special Denjoy integrable in some neighborhood of $x \in \mathbf{R}$, if the n^{th} Peano derivative of f at x i. e. $f_n(x)$ exists then $LRD_n f(x)$ exists and $f_n(x) = LRD_n f(x)$. The converse of the Theorem is not true [4].

2. If the n^{th} general derivative of f at x i. e. $f^n(x)$ exists then the n-th Laplace-Riemann derivative of f at x i. e. $LRD_n f(x)$ exists with same value but not conversely [3].

3. $RD_n^- f(x) \le LRD_n^- f(x) \le LRD_n^+ f(x) \le RD_n^+ f(x)$ Here, $\square RD_n^+ f(x)$ and $\square RD_n^- f(x)$ are the right and left n^{th} Riemann derivative off at x \square respectively. The converse is not true in general [4].

4. $LD_n^- f(x) \le LRD_n^- f(x) \le LRD_n^+ f(x) \le LD_n^+ f(x)$ Here, $\Box LD_n^+ f(x)$ and $LD_n^- f(x)$ are the right and left n^{th} Laplace derivative off at x respectively. The converse is not true in general [7].





Retrieval Number:100.1/ijam.D1124101422 DOI: <u>10.54105/ijam.D1124.04021024</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijam.latticescipub.com</u>

On Laplace-Riemann Derivatives

Remark: Laplace-Riemann derivative is more general than ordinary derivative, Peano derivative, Laplace derivative, Riemann derivative.

C. Basic Property

1) $LRD_{n}(f+g)(x) = LRD_{n}f(x) + LRD_{n}g(x)$. **Proof.** $LRD_{n}(f+g)(x) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^{n}(f+g,x,t) dt$ $= \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-j} {n \choose j} (f+g)(x+jt) dt$ $= \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-j} {n \choose j} f(x+jt) dt + \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-j} {n \choose j} g(x+jt) dt$ $= \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^{n}(f,x,t) dt + \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^{n}(g,x,t) dt$ $= LRD_{n}f(x) + LRD_{n}g(x) .$

2)For a scalar k, $LRD_n(kf)(x) = kLRD_nf(x)$

Proof.

$$LRD_{n}(kf)(x)$$

$$= \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^{n}(kf, x, t) dt$$

$$= \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-j} {n \choose j} (kf)(x+jt) dt .$$

$$= k \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^{n}(f, x, t) dt$$

$$= kLRD_{n} f(x)$$
3) $LRD_{n}(f-g)(x) = LRD_{n} f(x) - LRD_{n}g(x) .$

[Evident from 1) and 2]

D. Example of Laplace-Riemann Derivative of Some Common Functions

(i)Let $f(x) = e^x$.

$$\Delta^{1}(f, x, t) = f(x+t) - f(x) = e^{x+t} - e^{x} = [e^{t} - 1]e^{x}$$

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} s^{2} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^{1}(f, x, t) dt = \lim_{s \to \infty} s^{2} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} [e^{t} - 1]e^{x} dt = \lim_{s \to \infty} s^{2} e^{x} \left[\frac{e^{-(s-1)\delta} - 1}{1-s} - \frac{e^{-s\delta} - 1}{-s} \right] = e^{x}$$
Thus, $LRD_{1}f(x) = e^{x}$

$$\Delta^{2}(f, x, t) = f(x+2t) - f(x+t) + f(x) = e^{x+2t} - e^{x+t} + e^{x} = [e^{2t} - e^{t} + 1]e^{x}$$

$$LRD_{2}f(x)$$

$$= \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{3}}{2!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^{2}(f, x, t) dt$$

$$= \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{3}}{2!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} [e^{2t} - e^{t} + 1]e^{x} dt$$

Retrieval Number:100.1/ijam.D1124101422 DOI: <u>10.54105/ijam.D1124.04021024</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijam.latticescipub.com</u>



26



$$= e^{x} \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{3}}{2!} \left[\frac{e^{-(s-2)\delta} - 1}{2 - s} - \frac{e^{-(s-1)\delta} - 1}{1 - s} + \frac{e^{-s\delta} - 1}{-s} \right]$$

$$= e^{x} \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{3}}{2!} \left[\frac{e^{-(s-2)\delta}}{2 - s} - \frac{e^{-(s-1)\delta}}{1 - s} + \frac{e^{-s\delta}}{-s} \right] + e^{x} \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{3}}{2!} \left[\frac{-1}{2 - s} - \frac{-1}{1 - s} + \frac{-1}{-s} \right]$$

$$= 0 + e^{x}$$

$$= e^{x}$$

Thus $LRD_2 f(x) = e^x$

(ii)Let
$$f(x) = x^2$$
.

$$\Delta(f, x, t) = f(x+t) - f(x) = (x+t)^2 - x^2 = t(2x+t) = 2xt + t^2$$

$$\Delta^2(f, x, t) = \Delta f(x+t) - \Delta f(x)$$

$$= [f(x+2t) - f(x+t)] - [f(x+t) - f(x)]$$

$$= f(x+2t) - 2f(x+t) + f(x)$$

$$= (x+2t)^2 - 2(x+t)^2 + x^2$$

$$= 2t^2$$

$$LRD_1 f(x) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^2}{1!} \int_0^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^1(f, x, t) dt = 2x \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^2}{1!} \int_0^{\delta} e^{-st} t dt + 2 \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^2}{1!} \int_0^{\delta} e^{-st} t^2 dt = 2x$$

$$LRD_2 f(x) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^3}{2!} \int_0^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^2(f, x, t) dt = 2\lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^3}{2!} \int_0^{\delta} e^{-st} t^2 dt = 2.$$

II. MAIN RESULTS

[0[a,b]

Theorem 2.1: Let f be a non-decreasing function in [a, b], then $LRD_1^+ f \ge 0$ in [a,b]. The converse is also true.

Proof. Let δ be arbitrary small number such that $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ $x + \delta \in [a, b]$ whenever $\mathbb{M} x \in [a, b]$.

$$\mathbb{I} RD_1^+ f(x) = \lim_{s \to \infty} s^2 \int_0^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta(f, x, h) dh = \lim_{s \to \infty} s^2 \int_0^{\delta} e^{-st} [f(x+h) - f(x)] dh \ge 0,$$

$$= f(x) \ge 0 \text{ for all } h \in [0, \delta] \text{ Hence } \mathbb{I} RD_1^- f \text{ consist } \text{ in } \mathbb{I} RD_1^- f \text{ constant} = 0.$$

as m f(x+h) - f $LRD_1^+ f \ge 0$ in [a,b].

Conversely,

suppose
$$\mathbb{D}LRD_{l_1}^+ f \ge 0$$
 in

$$So, \lim_{s \to \infty} s^2 \int_0^{\delta} e^{-st} [f(x+h) - f(x)] dh \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x+h) - f(x) \ge 0 \text{ for all } h \in [0, \delta].$$

Therefore, f(a, b) is non-decreasing f(a, b)

Theorem 2.2 : Let f be a function which is continuous in [a,b], LRD_1^+f and LRD_1^-f exist in a set E contained in \mathbb{D} [a, b] \mathbb{D} , then $LRD_1^+f, LRD_1^-f \in \mathbf{B}_1(\mathbf{E})$. Moreover, if (i) $LRD_p f$ is finite, (ii) $LRD_i f$ is continuous in E, i = 0, 1, ..., p, (iii) LRD_{p+1}^+f and E

$$RD_{1}^{+}f(x) = \lim_{s \to \infty} s^{2} \int_{0}^{s-st} \Delta(f, x, h) dh = \lim_{s \to \infty} s^{2} \int_{0}^{s-st} [f(x+h) - f(x)] dh \ge 0,$$

$$f(x) \ge 0 \text{ for all } h \in [0, \delta]. \text{ Hence, } \square LRD_{p+1}^{-}f \text{ exist in } E, \text{ then}$$

$$LRD_{p+1}^+f, LRD_{p+1}^-f \in \mathbf{B}_1(\mathbf{E}).$$

Proof.

Given [fo]f is a function which is continuous in [a, b], [fo] LRD_1^+f and $\underline{f_0}LRD_1^-f$ exist in a set $\underline{f_0}E$ contained in fo [a, b].

Since $[f_0] LRD_1^+ f$ and $[f_0] LRD_1^- f$ exist in E,

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}(-1)^n s^2 \int_0^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta(f,x,t) dt$$

exist in E. Let,



Retrieval Number:100.1/ijam.D1124101422 DOI: 10.54105/ijam.D1124.04021024 Journal Website: www.ijam.latticescipub.com Published By: Lattice Science Publication (LSP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.

$$F_n(x) = n^2 \int_0^{\delta} e^{-nt} \Delta^1(f, x, t) dt, G_n(x) = (-1)n^2 \int_0^{\delta} e^{-nt} \Delta^1(f, x, t) dt$$

It is obvious that $F_n(x), G_n(x)$ are continuous in E. f_0

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) = LRD_1^+ f(x), \lim_{n \to \infty} G_n(x) = LRD_1^- f(x)$$

$$Phi_n(x) = \frac{n^{p+2}}{(p+1)!} \int_0^{\delta} e^{-nt} \Delta^{p+1}(f, x, t) dt, \Psi_n(x) = (-1)^{p+1} \frac{n^{p+2}}{(p+1)!} \int_0^{\delta} e^{-nt} \Delta^{p+1}(f, x, t) dt$$

It is obvious that $[f_0]\Phi_n(x), \Psi_n(x)$ are continuous in E.

$$n \lim_{s \to \infty} \Phi_n(x) = LRD_{p+1}^+ f(x), \lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi_{p+1}(x) = LRD_{p+1}^- f(x)$$

So, $LRD_{p+1}^+ f(x), LRD_{p+1}^- f(x) \in \mathbf{B}_1(\mathbf{E})$.

Note 2.1. : Let f be a function $[f_0]$ in [a, b]. If f is nondecreasing in [a, b], then $LRD_n f(x) \ge 0$ in [a,b].

Proof. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in [a, b]$, such that $\alpha < \beta$? So, $f(\alpha) \leq f(\beta)$.

Now, for any $[f_0] x_0 \in (a, b)$ and for any δ satisfying $0 < \delta < (b - x_0)$, we have $f(x_0 + \delta) \ge f(x_0)$.

$$\Delta^{n}(f, x, h) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} f(x+ih)$$

Let us take h(>0) in а wav such that $max\{0, h, 2h, \dots, (n-1)h\} \leq \delta$.

Hence,

$$\Delta^{n}(f, x_{0}, h) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} {n \choose i} f(x_{0} + ih)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^{n}(f, x_{0}, h) \ge \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-i} {n \choose i} f(x_{0}) + f(x_{0} + nh)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^{n}(f, x_{0}, h) \ge f(x_{0}) \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} {n \choose i} + f(x_{0} + nh) - f(x_{0})$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^{n}(f, x_{0}, h) \ge f(x_{0}) (-1 + 1)^{n} + f(x_{0} + nh) - f(x_{0})$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^{n}(f, x_{0}, h) \ge f(x_{0} + nh) - f(x_{0}) \ge 0$$
Then
$$f(x_{0}) = \int_{0}^{n} \int_{0}^{n}$$

$$LRD_n f(x) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^n(f, x, h) dh \ge 0,$$

provided the limit exists.

Theorem 2.3: Let $[f_0] f$ be an upper semi-continuous function with the property D in the closed interval [a,b]. If $E = \{x \in [a,b]: LRD_n^+ f(x) f \le 0\}$ and f(E) has no sub-interval, then f is non-decreasing in [a,b].

Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in [a, b]$, such that $[f_0] \alpha < \beta$. Proof. So, $f(\alpha) > f(\beta)$.

So, $[f_0]$ $LRD_1^+ f(x), LRD_1^- f(x) \in \mathbf{B}_1(\mathbf{E})$. Suppose, moreover, if (i) f_0 $LRD_p f$ is finite, (ii) f_0 $LRD_i f$ is continuous in E, i = 0, 1, ..., p, (iii) $LRD_{n+1}^+ f$ and $[f_0] LRD_{p+1}^- f$ exist in $[f_0] E$. Let,

$$a_n(x) = (-1)^{p+1} \frac{n^{p+2}}{(p+1)!} \int_0^{\delta} e^{-nt} \Delta^{p+1}(f, x, t) dt,$$

Now, let $y_0 \in (f(\alpha), f(\beta))$ such that y_0 doesn't belong to f_0 f(E).

Let $S = \{x \in [a,b] : f(x) \ge y_0\}$ and $[f_0] x_0 = supS$.

Since $[f_0] f [f_0]$ is an upper semi-continuous function with property D in [a,b], $\underline{f_0}$ S is closed and thus $x_0 \in S$. Therefore, $f(x_0) \ge y_0$. We will show that $f(x_0) = y_0$.

If not, there exist η satisfying $f(\beta) < y_0 < \eta < f(x_0)$ and $\xi \in (x_0, \beta)$, such that $f(\xi) = \eta$. It contradicts that $x_0 = supS$. So, $f(x_0) = y_0$.

Since f is an upper semi-continuous function with property D in [a,b] and $x_0 < \beta$, for $x_0 < x < \beta$, [fo] $f(x) < f(x_0)$.

If $[i_0] 0 < \delta < (\beta - x_0)$, then $f(x_0 + \delta) - f(x_0) < 0$.

Again, f being upper semi-continuous function with D in [a, b], for any $y_0 > y$ there is a property neighbourhood U of x_0 such that $y < f(x) < y_0$, whenever $x \in U$.

$$\Delta^{n}(f, x_{0}, h) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} f(x_{0} + ih)$$

Let us take h(>0) in a way such that $x_0 + ih \in U_{x_0+nh}$ for all i = 0, 1, ..., n and $max\{0, h, 2h, ..., (n-1)h\} \le \delta$. Therefore.

$$\begin{split} &\Delta^{n}(f, x_{0}, h) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} f(x_{0} + ih) \\ &\Rightarrow \Delta^{n}(f, x_{0}, h) < \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} f(x_{0}) + f(x_{0} + nh) \\ &\Rightarrow \Delta^{n}(f, x_{0}, h) < f(x_{0}) \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} + f(x_{0} + nh) - f(x_{0}) \\ &\Rightarrow \Delta^{n}(f, x_{0}, h) < f(x_{0}) (-1 + 1)^{n} + f(x_{0} + nh) - f(x_{0}) \\ &\Rightarrow \Delta^{n}(f, x_{0}, h) < f(x_{0} + nh) - f(x_{0}) < 0 \end{split}$$



Retrieval Number:100.1/ijam.D1124101422 DOI: 10.54105/ijam.D1124.04021024 Journal Website: www.ijam.latticescipub.com Published By:



Indian Journal of Advanced Mathematics (IJAM) ISSN: 2582-8932 (Online), Volume-4 Issue-2, October 2024

Then

$$LRD_{n}f(x_{0}) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_{0}^{o} e^{-st} \Delta^{n}(f, x_{0}, h) dh \le 0$$

implies $x_0 \in S$ and hence $y_0 \in E$, a contradiction.

So, our initial assumption is wrong. There cannot be $\alpha, \beta \in [a,b]$, such that $\alpha < \beta$. So, $f(\alpha) > f(\beta)$. So, [6] f is non-decreasing in [a, b].

Theorem 2.4 : Let f be an upper semi-continuous function which has the property D in [a, b], \square $LRD_n f(x) \ge 0$ in [a,b] except an enumerable set E. Then f is non-decreasing in [a, b].

Proof. Suppose $\dot{o} > 0$ be arbitrarily small number and $g(x) = f(x) + \dot{o}x$.

$$LRD_n^*g(x)$$

$$= \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^n(g, x, h) dh$$

$$= \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^n(f, x, h) dh + \delta \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^n(I, x, h) dh, I(x) = x$$

$$= LRD_n f(x),$$

as $\square \Delta^n(I, x, h) = 0$

Here, g is also an upper semi-continuous function with property D in [a, b], moreover g(E) is measurable thus contains no sub-interval. So, g is non-decreasing in [a,b].

Since \grave{o} is arbitrarily small positive number, $\square f$ is non-decreasing in [a, b].

Theorem 2.5 : Let f be an upper semi-continuous function which has the property D in [a, b], $LRD_n f(x) \ge 0$ in [a,b] almost everywhere in [a,b], $LRD_n^+ f(x) > -\infty$ in [a, b] except an enumerable set [a,b]E. Then f is non-decreasing in [a,b]

Proof. Let $\square A = \{x \in [a,b] : LRD_n^+ f(x) < 0\}$. Clearly, m(A) = 0. Suppose $\square \sigma$ is a continuous, nondecreasing function in $\square [a,b]$ such that $\square \Delta^n(\sigma, x, h) \ge 0$ in [a,b] except A.

We consider an arbitrary small positive number \grave{o} and take $\square g(x) = f(x) + \grave{o}\sigma(x)$. Then g an upper semicontinuous function with property D in \square [a,b],

$$LRD_{n}^{+}g(x)$$

$$= \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^{n}(g, x, h) dh$$

$$= \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^{n}(f, x, h) dh + \delta \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^{n+1}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-st} \Delta^{n}(\sigma, x, h) dh$$

$$= LRD_{n}f(x) + \delta RD_{n}\sigma(x),$$

Therefore, $\Box LRD_n^+g(x) \ge 0$ almost everywhere in \Box [a, b] except A. Hence, g is non-decreasing in [a, b].

Since $\dot{\mathbf{o}}$ is arbitrarily small positive number, f is nondecreasing in $\overline{\mathbf{o}}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$.

Note 2.1: Example of function σ which is continuous, non-decreasing in [a, b] such that $\Delta^n(\sigma, x, h) \ge 0$ in [a, b] except a set A of measure zero is polynomial $ax^k + bx^{k-2} + ... + \lambda$, where the co-efficients are all positive and k is an even natural number.

Theorem 2.6: If f is continuous and $LRD_n f(x)$ exists then $LRD_n^+ f(x)$ has Darboux property.

Proof. Let us consider that $\square LRD_n^+ f(x)$ does not have Darboux property, then there exist α, β such that \square $f(\alpha) < 0, f(\beta) > 0$ but $LRD_n^+ f(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in (\alpha, \beta)$.

Further, suppose $E^{+} = \left\{ x \in [\alpha, \beta] : LRD_{n}^{+}f(x) > 0 \right\}, E^{-} = \left\{ x \in [\alpha, \beta] : LRD_{n}^{+}f(x) < 0 \right\},$ then $[\alpha][\alpha, \beta] = E^{+} \bigcup E^{-}$.

Let \mathbf{Q} be (if any) non-degenerate component of $\square E^+$. Then \mathbf{Q} is an interval. Suppose \square \$c, d\$ be the end points of $\square \mathbf{Q}$.

 $LRD_n^+f > 0$ in \mathbf{Q} , so f is non-decreasing in \mathbf{Q} . fbeing continuous and non-decreasing in [c,d], f $LRD_n^+f(c), LRD_n^+f(d) > 0$. Therefore $c, d \in \mathbf{Q}$, implies that \mathbf{Q} is a closed interval. \mathbf{Q} being arbitrary, every non-degenerate component of E^+ is a closed interval. Following similar arguments, it can be shown that every non-degenerate component of E^- is a closed interval.

Let $\square Q^+, Q^-$ be the collection of all non-degenerate components of $\square E^+$ and E^- respectively. Let \square $\mathbf{Q}' = Q^+ \bigcup Q^-$. Then any two distinct members of $\square \mathbf{Q}'$ are disjoint.

Hence, $P = [\alpha, \beta] - \bigcup Q^0, Q \in \mathbf{Q}'$, is perfect and $LRD_n^+ f$ has no point of continuity in P relative to $\mathbb{P}P$, which is a contradiction as $LRD_n^+ f \in B[\alpha, \beta]$.

Therefore, $LRD_n^+ f(x)$ must have Darboux property.

Theorem 2.7: Mean Value Theorem

If $\Box f$ is continuous in [a,b] and $LRD_n f(x)$ exists in (a,b) then there exists $c \in (a,b)$ such that $\Box f(b) - f(a) = (b-a)LRD_n f(c)$.

Proof. Here we may have following two cases -

Case 1: Let f(b) = f(a). Then,



Published By: Lattice Science Publication (LSP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



Subcase 1- In case $LRD_n f(x) \ge 0$ or $LRD_n f(x) \le 0$ in (a,b). Thus f is monotone function. Now f being continuous as well as monotone, f is constant in $\mathbb{P}(a, b)$, ensuring the existence of c.

Subcase 2- In case $\overline{D} f$ is not monotone, $LRD_n f(\alpha) < 0$ and $LRD_n f(\beta) > 0$ for some α, β in (a, b) and hence there exists $\xi \in (a,b)$ such that $LRD_n f(\xi) = 0$?, implying $c = \xi$.

Case 2: Let $f(b) \neq f(a)$. Then, suppose $\overline{f}(a)$ $\Phi(x) = f(x) - Ax$, A = constant. Clearly, is Φ continuous in [a, b] and $LRD_{n}\Phi(x)$ exists in (a, b)^[i0].

Also,
$$\square LRD_n \Phi(x) = LRD_n f(x)$$
.

Let us take $\square A = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$. Thus, $\square \Phi(b) = \Phi(a)$.

By Case 1, there exists $\Box c \in (a,b)$ such that \Box $LRD_{u}\Phi(c)=0$

$$\Rightarrow LRD_n f(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

III. CONCLUSION

If f is continuous in $\mathbb{Z}[a, b]$, f(a) = f(b) and $LRD_n f(x)$ exists in $\mathbb{D}(a,b)$ then there exists $c \in (a,b)$ such that $LRD_n f(c) = 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am thankful to my guides S. Ray (Department of Mathematics, Visva-Bharati University) and A. Garai (Department of Mathematics, Memari College) for their support as well as the mathematicians whose work [Refer to Reference] have motivated me and guided me.

DECLARATION STATEMENT

I must verify the accuracy of the following information as the article's author.

- Conflicts of Interest/ Competing Interests: Based on my understanding, this article has no conflicts of interest.
- Funding Support: This article has not been funded by any organizations or agencies. This independence ensures that the research is conducted with objectivity and without any external influence.
- Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate: The content of this article does not necessitate ethical approval or consent to participate with supporting documentation.
- Data Access Statement and Material Availability: The adequate resources of this article are publicly accessible.
- Authors Contributions: The authorship of this article is contributed solely.

REFERENCES

- 1. P.S. Bullen and S.N.Mukhopadhyay, Relations between some general nth order derivatives, Fund. Math., 85(1974), 257-276. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4064/fm-85-3-257-276
- 2. R. E. Sevtic, The Laplace Derivative, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 42.2 (2001), 331-343. http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119247.
- 3. S. Mukhopadhyay, Higher Order Derivatives, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Research monograph No 144. 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1201/b11617
- 4 Subhankar Ghosh, Some Studies on Higher Order Generalized Derivatives, Symmetric Derivatives, Divided Differences and Their Interrelations, Ph. D. Thesis, Visva-Bharati University, 2017.http://hdl.handle.net/10603/216432
- 5 S. N. Mukhopadhayay and S. Ray, On Laplace Derivative, Analysis (2010), Mathematica, 36 131-153. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10476-010-0204-3
- S. Ray and A. Garai, The Laplace Derivative, Mathematics Student, 6. Vo.81. Nos.1-4 (2012), 171-175. 177 - 184https://scholar.google.co.in/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en& user=V7pOJVgAAAAJ&citation_for_view=V7pOJVgAAAAJ:WF5o mc3nYNoC
- 7 Deb, S. (2021). On Symmetric Riemann-Derivatives. In Indian Journal of Advanced Mathematics (Vol. 1, Issue 2, pp. 47-51). Lattice Science Publication (LSP). DOI: https://doi.org/10.54105/ijam.b1114.101221
- Kiran, D. M. K., Kameswari, Dr. M. V. R., Sujatha, Capt. Dr. K., 8 Sastry, K. R. K., & Naidu, B. R. (2020). Data Encryption to Decryption by using Laplace Transform. In International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (Vol. 9, Issue 6, pp. 330-334). DOI: https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.f3324.049620

AUTHOR PROFILE



S. Deb, obtained M.Sc. in Pure Mathematics from University of Kalyani in 2014 and presently researching in Visva Bharati, India on Generalized derivatives (Symmetric derivatives, Riemann-derivatives, Riemann Laplace Riemann-derivatives), trying to define new Generalized

derivatives and new integrals using Borel derivatives similar to Perron integral and Zn integral

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Lattice Science Publication (LSP)/ journal and/ or the editor(s). The Lattice Science Publication (LSP)/ journal and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.



Published By: